

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|---------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/822,120 | 20 04/09/2004 | | Mark D. Levitt | 117-P-1345USD2 | 2471 |
| 23322 | 7590 | 12/20/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| IPLM GRO | • | | AHMED, SHEEBA | | |
| MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 1773 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

'

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/822,120 | LEVITT ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Sheeba Ahmed | 1773 | | |

| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Sheeba Ahmed | 1773 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS 1. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejecti | on. | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply-origing than three months after the mailing date. | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, o | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | is of the date of e appeal. Since | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); | TE below); | | | | | | |
| (c) ∑ They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | corresponding number of finally rej | | ine issues for | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | | | | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-19 and 31-40. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is | t be entered s necessary and | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ied. | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | it does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The combination of an acrylic intermediate coating and an acrylate or urethane topcoat is newly presented and would require further search and consideration...

SHEEBA AHMED, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER